	Case 2:24-cv-00237-DJC-CKD Documer	nt 17 Filed 02/06/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	KIM EDWARD ROGERS,	No. 2:24-cv-0237-DJ	(C-CKD (PS)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER TO SHOW (<u>CAUSE</u>
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff filed a pro se civil complaint naming individual and entity defendants and		
18	asserting claims for unreasonable search and seizure under the Fourth Amendment, invasion of		
19	privacy, trespass, and larceny. (ECF No. 1.) This matter is before the undersigned pursuant to 28		
20	U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 301(c)(21).		
21	A pro se plaintiff may commence an action without paying the filing fees where the		
22	plaintiff submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such person possesses that the		
23	person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). On September		
24	13, 2024, this court denied plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") without		
25	prejudice. (ECF No. 14.) The court ordered plaintiff to take one of the following actions within 30		
26	days: pay the \$405.00 court costs, seek an extension of time to do so, or file an amended IFP		
27	application using a "Long Form" application. (<u>Id.</u> at 5.) The court cautioned plaintiff that failure		
28	to timely pay the court costs or file an amended IFP application could result in sanctions,		
		1	

1 including dismissal of the action with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). (Id. 2 at 6.) 3 Plaintiff has filed a document styled as "Reply to Court Order dated September 13, 2024." 4 (ECF No. 15.) Therein, plaintiff states the court has denied plaintiff a fair hearing on the alleged 5 violations of law, among other matters. (ECF No. 15.) Plaintiff has not, however, paid the filing 6 fee, filed an amended IFP application, or sought an extension of time for either purpose. 7 In order to properly initiate this civil action, plaintiff must either pay the filing fee, see 28 8 U.S.C.A. § 1914(a), or be granted leave to proceed IFP, see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiff is 9 hereby cautioned that failure to comply with any order of this court or the court's Local Rules 10 may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the 11 inherent power of the court, up to and including dismissal of this action. See Local Rule 110; Fed. 12 R. Civ. P. 41(b). With this warning in place, plaintiff will be ordered to either pay the court costs, 13 file an amended IFP application, or show cause why this action should not be dismissed. 14 For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within 14 days of the 15 date of this order, plaintiff shall pay the filing fee, file an amended Long Form IFP application 16 ("AO 239"), or show cause why this action should not be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to do so. 17 Dated: February 6, 2025 18 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 19 20 21 8, roge24cv237.osc.fee 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Document 17

Filed 02/06/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:24-cv-00237-DJC-CKD